

24 June 2011

By email

Mr D Patterson Chief Executive London Borough of Bromley

Dear Mr Patterson

#### **Annual Review Letter**

I am writing with our annual summary of statistics on the complaints made to me about your authority for the year ending 31 March 2011. I hope the information set out in the enclosed tables will be useful to you.

The statistics include the number of enquiries and complaints received by our Advice Team, the number that the Advice Team forwarded to my office and decisions made on complaints about your council. Not all complaints are decided in the same year that they are received. This means that the number of complaints received and the number decided will be different.

The statistics also show the time taken by your authority to respond to written enquiries and the average response times by type of authority.

### Complaints received during 2010-11

As you will see, we received 131 complaints about the council in 2010/11, 66 of which were referred for investigation. As you know, we consider it important to deal with complaints as swiftly as possible and council response times to our enquiries are a significant factor in achieving timely outcomes. From formal enquiries made on 36 complaints this year, your average response time was 34.5 days which is above the 28 day target and worse than the previous year of 31.3 days. However one particular complaint which took 106 days has skewed the figures and this complaint related to an education matter and coincided with the summer holidays.

### Complaint outcomes

We decided 61 complaints during the year. In 27 cases we found no evidence of maladministration and in five cases we decided to discontinue the investigation as we considered that there was insufficient injustice caused to the complainant to warrant further investigation. Nine cases were considered to be outside my jurisdiction. The council agreed to settle 19 complaints and I refer in more detail to some of those cases below.

Of the 61 decisions made in the year 19 were settled by the council which at 31% is slightly above the national average of 27.1%.

Complaints to us cover a wide range of council services. For example, the council agreed to compensation of £500 when it had delayed in dealing with an appeal against the withdrawal of council tax benefit and had instituted recovery proceedings, including ten visits by bailiffs, to someone who was known to be vulnerable and lived in supported accommodation because of his mental health issues.

In four complaints relating to special educational needs (SEN) the council agreed to pay compensation totalling £900 in respect of a child with SEN who had no access to education following an unofficial exclusion from school. In a similar case, £5,000 was paid to a child who had missed four terms of education as a result of the council not reviewing his statement of SEN.

In another complaint relating to children and family services we found that the council did not respond properly to the findings of the stage three panel after the council's investigation of the complaint. The council agreed that its letter was unhelpful and undertook to use the outcome of the complaint to improve practice within the referral and assessment process. We did not consider that a financial remedy was necessary for this complaint.

One complaint related to a piece of highway land outside the complainants' property. The complainants incurred substantial legal fees in pursuing an adverse possession claim which they would not have done had the council properly advised them as to the status of the land from the outset. The council agreed to refund the legal costs and to pay an element for the time and trouble the complainants had been put to, making a total of £5,500.

#### Communicating decisions

We want our work to be transparent and our decisions to be clear and comprehensible. During the past year we changed the way we communicate our decisions and reasons. We now provide a stand-alone statement of reasons for every decision we make to both the citizen who has complained and to the council. These statements replace our former practice of communicating decisions by letter to citizens that are copied to councils. We hope this change has been beneficial and welcome comments on this or any other aspect of our work.

In April 2011 we introduced a new IT system for case management and revised the brief descriptions of our decisions. My next annual letter will use the different decision descriptions that are intended to give a more precise representation of complaint outcomes and also add further transparency to our work.

### Extended powers

During 2010/11 our powers were extended to deal with complaints in two significant areas.

In October 2010 all complaints about injustice connected to adult social care services came under our jurisdiction. The greater use of direct payments and personalised budgets mean that it is particularly important for us to be able to deal with such complaints irrespective of whether a council has arranged the care. The increasing number of people who arrange and pay for their own social care now have the right to an independent and impartial examination of any complaints and concerns they may have about their care provider.

In the six months to April 2011 we received 75 complaints under our new adult social care powers. Between 2009/10 and 2010/11 complaints about care arranged or funded by councils doubled from 657 to 1,351.

The Apprenticeships, Skills, Children & Learning Act 2009 introduced powers for us to deal with complaints about schools by pupils or their parents. This was to be introduced in phases and currently applies in 14 council areas. By the end of 2010/11 we had received 169 complaints about schools in those areas and 183 about schools in other areas where we had no power to investigate. The Education Bill currently before Parliament proposes to rescind our new jurisdiction from July 2012.

Our new powers coincided with the introduction of Treasury controls on expenditure by government departments and sponsored bodies designed to reduce the public spending deficit. This has constrained our ability to inform care service users, pupils and their parents of their new rights.

### Assisting councils to improve

For many years we have made our experience and expertise available to councils by offering training in complaint handling. We regard supporting good complaint handling in councils as an important part of our work. During 2010/11 we surveyed a number of councils that had taken up the training and some that had not. Responses from councils where we had provided training were encouraging:

- 90% said it had helped them to improve their complaint handling
- 68% gave examples of how the knowledge and skills gained from the training had been applied in practice
- 55% said that complaints were resolved at an earlier stage than previously
- almost 50% said that citizens who complained were more satisfied.

These findings will inform how we develop and provide training in the future. For example, the survey identified that councils are interested in short complaint handling modules and e-learning.

Details of training opportunities are on our web site at <a href="www.lgo.org.uk/training-councils/">www.lgo.org.uk/training-councils/</a>

More details of our work over the year will be included in the 2010/11 Annual Report. This will be published on our website at the same time as the annual review letters for all councils (14 July).

If it would be helpful to your council I should be pleased to arrange for me or a senior manager to meet and explain our work in greater detail.

Yours sincerely

Dr Jane Martin

Local Government Ombudsman

# Local authority report - Bromley LB

For further information on interpretation of statistics click on this link to go to www.lgo.org.uk/CouncilsPerformance

### **LGO Advice Team**

Enquiries and complaints received	Adult Care Services	Benefits & Tax	Corporate & Other Services	Education & Childrens Services	Environmental Services & Public Protection & Regulation	Highways & Transport	Housing	Other	Planning & Development	Total
Formal/informal premature complaints	0	9	0	6	4	2	7	1	6	35
Advice given	5	7	0	8	3	1	2	3	1	30
Forwarded in investigative team (resubmitted	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	4	9
Forwarded to investigative team (new)	10	6	2	13	3	9	4	1	9	57
Total	15	24	3	28	10	13	13	5	20	131

# **Investigative Team**

Decisions	Reports: maladministration and injustice	Local settlements (no report)	Reports: Maladministration no injustice	Reports: no Maladministration	No Maladministration (no report)	Ombudsman's discretion (no report)	Outside jurisdiction	Total
2010 / 2011	0	19	0	0	27	6	9	61

### Adult social care decisions made from 1 Oct 2010\*

	Out of jurisdiction	To discontinue investigation, other	Total
2010 - 2011	1	1	2

<sup>\*</sup>These decisions are not included in the main decisions table above. They use the new decision reasons from 1/10/10.

Response times	First enquiries			
	No of first Enquiries	Avg no of days to respond		
01/04/2010 / 31/03/2011	36	34.5		
2009 / 2010	41	31.3		
2008 / 2009	42	32.0		

Response times	First enquiries			
adult social care 1/10/10 - 31/3/11	No of first Enquiries	Avg no of days to respond		
2010/2011	1	14.0		

## Provisional comparative response times 01/04/2010 to 31/03/2011

Types of authority	<= 28 days	29 - 35 days	>=36 days
	%	%	%
District councils	65	23	12
Unitary authorities	59	28	13
Metropolitan authorities	64	19	17
County councils	66	17	17
London boroughs	64	30	6
National parks authorities	75	25	0