

The Local Government Ombudsman's Annual Letter

Wansbeck District Council

for the year ended 31 March 2008

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) provides a free, independent and impartial service. We consider complaints about the administrative actions of councils and some other authorities. We cannot question what a council has done simply because someone does not agree with it. If we find something has gone wrong, such as poor service, service failure, delay or bad advice, and that a person has suffered as a result, the Ombudsmen aim to get it put right by recommending a suitable remedy. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.

Annual Letter 2007/08 - Introduction

This annual letter provides a summary of the complaints received about Wansbeck District Council and comments on the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements.

As a result of the Secretary of State's decision on the future structure of local government in Northumberland, this is the last annual letter that I shall be sending to the Council.

I should like to take this opportunity of thanking all the members and officers who have dealt with my office for their courtesy and cooperation and to wish them well for the future.

Two attachments form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

Complaints received

Volume

There were 13 complaints against the Council last year compared to eight during the previous year and 17 during the year before that.

Character

The largest single category of complaint was planning and building control services against which six complaints were made. During the two previous years the largest category had been housing when four complaints were made. Last year only one complaint was made about housing.

Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

Last year the Council responded within an average of only 17 calendar days to the first enquiries made upon three complaints. That performance contrasts well with its average of 63 calendar days taken upon the same number of complaints during the previous year.

I am pleased to note that the Council has responded positively to the comments I made last year on its performance in 2006/7 and that officers have visited my office to learn more about our investigative processes and requirements of councils.

Decisions on complaints

Last year decisions were taken upon eight complaints, three of which were found to be premature, where the Council had not yet had a proper chance to deal with the complaint. One complaint lay outside my jurisdiction, and in another I found no maladministration.

Reports and local settlements

We will often discontinue enquires into a complaint when a council takes or agrees to take action that we consider to be a satisfactory response – we call these local settlements. In 2007/08 the Local Government Ombudsmen determined 27% of complaints by local settlement (excluding 'premature' complaints and those outside our jurisdiction).

Three complaints were locally settled last year. In one case the Council made repeated errors in the complainant's housing benefit and council tax benefit entitlement, and subsequently agreed to pay the complainant £750. In another case the Council had failed to honour its agreement upon a parking scheme and to communicate effectively with the complainant. A payment of £500 was made by the Council to the complainant.

If an investigation is completed I issue a public report. I did not issue any reports about the Council last year.

Training in complaint handling

Part of our role is to provide advice and guidance about good administrative practice. We offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. A detailed evaluation of the training provided to councils over the past three years shows very high levels of satisfaction. We will customise courses to meet your Council's specific requirements and provide courses for groups of staff from different smaller authorities. Participants benefit from the complaint-handling knowledge and expertise of the experienced investigators who present the courses.

I am pleased to note that officers of the Council attended a good complaints handling course arising from its introduction of a new complaints handling system in January 2008.

I enclose information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and any further bookings.

LGO developments

We launched the LGO Advice Team in April, providing a first contact service for all enquirers and new complainants. Demand for the service has been high. Our team of advisers, trained to provide comprehensive information and advice, has dealt with many thousands of calls since the service started.

The team handles complaints submitted by telephone, email or text, as well as in writing. This new power to accept complaints other than in writing was one of the provisions of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act, which also came into force in April. Our experience of implementing other provisions in the Act, such as complaints about service failure and apparent maladministration, is being kept under review and will be subject to further discussion. Any feedback from your Council would be welcome.

Last year we published two special reports providing advice and guidance on 'applications for prior approval of telecommunications masts' and 'citizen redress in local partnerships'. Feedback on special reports is always welcome. I would particularly appreciate information on complaints protocols in the governance arrangements of partnerships with which your Council is involved.

Conclusions and general observations

I welcome this opportunity to comment on our experience of complaints about the Council over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

Anne Seex Local Government Ombudsman Beverley House 17 Shipton Road YORK YO30 5FZ

June 2008

Enc: Statistical data

Note on interpretation of statistics
Leaflet on training courses (with posted copy only)

Complaints received by subject area	Benefits	Housing	Other	Planning & building control	Public finance	Total
01/04/2007 -	1	1	5	6	0	13
31/03/2008 2006 / 2007	1	4	0	2	1	8
2005 / 2006	3	4	7	3	0	17

Note: these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

Decisions	MI reps	LS	M reps	NM reps	No mal	Omb disc	Outside jurisdiction	Premature complaints	Total excl premature	Total
01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	3	5	8
2006 / 2007	0	2	0	0	1	1	3	1	7	8
2005 / 2006	1	7	0	0	3	2	2	5	15	20

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

	FIRST ENQUIRIES				
Response times	No. of First Enquiries	Avg no. of days to respond			
01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008	3	17.0			
2006 / 2007	3	63.0			
2005 / 2006	9	33.0			

Average local authority response times 01/04/2007 to 31/03/2008

Types of authority	<= 28 days	29 - 35 days	> = 36 days
	%	%	%
District Councils	56.4	24.6	19.1
Unitary Authorities	41.3	50.0	8.7
Metropolitan Authorities	58.3	30.6	11.1
County Councils	47.1	38.2	14.7
London Boroughs	45.5	27.3	27.3
National Park Authorities	71.4	28.6	0.0

Printed: 07/05/2008 17:40