

# Local Government OMBUDSMAN

**The Local Government Ombudsman's  
Annual Letter**

**Cheltenham Borough Council**  
**for the year ended**  
**31 March 2008**

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) provides a free, independent and impartial service. We consider complaints about the administrative actions of councils and some other authorities. We cannot question what a council has done simply because someone does not agree with it. If we find something has gone wrong, such as poor service, service failure, delay or bad advice, and that a person has suffered as a result, the Ombudsmen aim to get it put right by recommending a suitable remedy. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.

## **Annual Letter 2007/08 - Introduction**

This annual letter provides a summary of the complaints we have received about Cheltenham Borough Council. We have included comments on the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements, where possible, so they can assist with your service improvement.

I hope that the letter will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

Two attachments form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

## **Complaints received**

### ***Volume***

We received ten complaints against your Council during the year, ten fewer than last year. We expect to see fluctuations like this from year to year given the small numbers involved.

### ***Character***

Four of the complaints we received against your Council were about housing and three about planning and building control. The remaining three complaints were recorded in the "Other" category. Two were about anti-social behaviour and one fell in the miscellaneous category.

## **Decisions on complaints**

### ***Reports and local settlements***

When we complete an investigation we issue a report. I issued no reports against your Council this year.

A 'local settlement' is a complaint where, during the course of our investigation, the Council has agreed to take some action which we consider is a satisfactory response to the complaint. The investigation is then discontinued. In 2007/08 the Local Government Ombudsmen determined some 28% of complaints by local settlement (excluding 'premature' complaints - where councils have not had a proper chance to deal with them - and those outside our jurisdiction). No complaints were settled locally. I congratulate the Council on its performance this year.

### ***Other findings***

Thirteen decisions were made on complaints. Four were treated as premature and referred back to your Council so they could first be considered through your Council's complaints procedure.

All the remaining nine complaints were not pursued because there was insufficient evidence of maladministration.

## **Your Council's complaints procedure and handling of complaints**

The number of premature complaints is 30%, slightly higher than the national average, but with small numbers like these it is difficult to reach any safe conclusions. I would suggest that the Council keeps under review the publicity given to its complaints procedure to ensure that complaints are considered before the complainant has the need to approach the Ombudsman.

## **Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman**

We made enquiries on six complaints and the average time for responding was 22.3 days, which is well within our target of 28 days. I would like to thank the Council for the prompt provision of information here.

## **Training in complaint handling**

Part of our role is to provide advice and guidance about good administrative practice. We offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. This year we carried out a detailed evaluation of the training with councils that have been trained over the past three years. The results are very positive.

The range of courses is expanding in response to demand. In addition to the generic Good Complaint Handling (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution) we now offer these courses specifically for social services staff and a course on reviewing complaints for social care review panel members. We can run open courses for groups of staff from different smaller authorities and also customise courses to meet your Council's specific requirements.

All courses are presented by an experienced investigator so participants benefit from their knowledge and expertise of complaint handling.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and any further bookings.

## **LGO developments**

We launched the LGO Advice Team in April, providing a first contact service for all enquirers and new complainants. Demand for the service has been high. Our team of advisers, trained to provide comprehensive information and advice, have dealt with many thousands of calls since the service started.

The team handles complaints submitted by telephone, email or text, as well as in writing. This new power to accept complaints other than in writing was one of the provisions of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act, which also came into force in April. Our experience of implementing other provisions in the Act, such as complaints about service failure and apparent maladministration, is being kept under review and will be subject to further discussion. Any feedback from your Council would be welcome.

Last year we published two special reports providing advice and guidance on 'applications for prior approval of telecommunications masts' and 'citizen redress in local partnerships'. I would appreciate your feedback on these, particularly on any complaints protocols put in place as part of the overall governance arrangements for partnerships your Council has set up.

## **Conclusions and general observations**

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

**J R White  
Local Government Ombudsman  
The Oaks No2  
Westwood Way  
Westwood Business Park  
Coventry CV4 8JB**

**18 June 2008**

Enc: Statistical data  
Note on interpretation of statistics  
Leaflet on training courses (with posted copy only)

Complaints received by subject area	Benefits	Housing	Other	Planning & building control	Public finance	Transport and highways	Total
01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008	0	4	3	3	0	0	10
2006 / 2007	1	5	3	7	1	3	20
2005 / 2006	0	3	3	10	0	2	18

**Note:** these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

Decisions	MI reps	LS	M reps	NM reps	No mal	Omb disc	Outside jurisdiction	Premature complaints	Total excl premature	Total
01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	4	9	13
2006 / 2007	0	4	0	0	8	2	3	5	17	22
2005 / 2006	0	4	0	0	2	2	2	6	10	16

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

Response times	FIRST ENQUIRIES	
	No. of First Enquiries	Avg no. of days to respond
01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008	6	22.3
2006 / 2007	10	21.2
2005 / 2006	9	31.3

**Average local authority response times 01/04/2007 to 31/03/2008**

Types of authority	<= 28 days %	29 - 35 days %	> = 36 days %
District Councils	56.4	24.6	19.1
Unitary Authorities	41.3	50.0	8.7
Metropolitan Authorities	58.3	30.6	11.1
County Councils	47.1	38.2	14.7
London Boroughs	45.5	27.3	27.3
National Park Authorities	71.4	28.6	0.0