

**The Local Government Ombudsman's  
Annual Letter  
Babergh District Council  
for the year ended  
31 March 2008**

**The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) provides a free, independent and impartial service. We consider complaints about the administrative actions of councils and some other authorities. We cannot question what a council has done simply because someone does not agree with it. If we find something has gone wrong, such as poor service, service failure, delay or bad advice, and that a person has suffered as a result, the Ombudsmen aim to get it put right by recommending a suitable remedy. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.**

## **Annual Letter 2007/08 - Introduction**

This annual letter provides a summary of the complaints we have received about Babergh District Council. We have included comments on the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements, where possible, so they can assist with your service improvement.

I hope that the letter will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

Two attachments form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

## **Complaints received**

### ***Volume***

In 2007/08 I received ten complaints against your Council, similar to the number received in 2006/07.

### ***Character***

As is common for district councils, and as before for your Council, most complaints were about planning. I received four complaints about planning applications and one about planning advice. I also received two complaints about housing allocations and complaints about housing repairs, waste management and highway management.

## **Decisions on complaints**

During 2007/08 I made decisions on 11 cases.

### ***Reports and local settlements***

A 'local settlement' is a complaint where, during the course of our investigation, the Council has agreed to take some action which we consider is a satisfactory response to the complaint. The investigation is then discontinued. In 2007/08 the Local Government Ombudsmen determined 27% of complaints by local settlement (excluding 'premature' complaints - where councils have not had a proper chance to deal with them - and those outside our jurisdiction). None of the complaints we investigated this year justified the issue of a report.

I reached a local settlement in two cases. The first involved some delay in fitting a new kitchen for a Council tenant, and work, identified before the tenant took over the property, which was not carried out or was done by the tenant in default. The Council agreed to pay a total of £285 for plasterwork which the tenant had done, and a decoration allowance for three rooms. The total settlement was £465. The Council also agreed to carry out other works needed.

The other case concerned a planning application which went to the Planning Committee. The complainants had objected to the application and wanted to be informed of the committee date, but they were not. There was no evidence to suggest the Committee would have reached a different decision, but I considered the Council should have responded to the request. The Council agreed to make a payment of £50 to recognise the uncertainty the complainants suffered and their time and trouble in pursuing the matter. The Council also agreed to review its procedures on providing information to those involved in the planning process. I should be grateful if you would send me details of the outcome.

/...

### **Other findings**

In one case concerning a planning application I did not find any evidence that the Council was at fault. Two complaints concerned matters outside my jurisdiction. In two other cases I used my discretion not to investigate further. Finally, four cases were referred back to the Council as I did not consider you had an adequate opportunity to consider a response before I became involved.

### **Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman**

I ask councils to reply to my enquiries within 28 calendar days. Your Council's average response time was 13.5 days. My officers have noted your Council's willingness to provide detailed responses to our enquiries.

### **Training in complaint handling**

Part of our role is to provide advice and guidance about good administrative practice. We offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. This year we carried out a detailed evaluation of the training with councils that have been trained over the past three years. The results are very positive.

The range of courses is expanding in response to demand. In addition to the generic Good Complaint Handling (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution) we now offer these courses specifically for social services staff and a course on reviewing complaints for social care review panel members. We can run open courses for groups of staff from different smaller authorities and also customise courses to meet your Council's specific requirements.

All courses are presented by an experienced investigator so participants benefit from their knowledge and expertise of complaint handling.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and any further bookings.

### **LGO developments**

We launched the LGO Advice Team in April, providing a first contact service for all enquirers and new complainants. Demand for the service has been high. Our team of advisers, trained to provide comprehensive information and advice, has dealt with many thousands of calls since the service started.

The team handles complaints submitted by telephone, email or text, as well as in writing. This new power to accept complaints other than in writing was one of the provisions of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act, which also came into force in April. Our experience of implementing other provisions in the Act, such as complaints about service failure and apparent maladministration, is being kept under review and will be subject to further discussion. Any feedback from your Council would be welcome.

Last year we published two special reports providing advice and guidance on 'applications for prior approval of telecommunications masts' and 'citizen redress in local partnerships'. Again, I would appreciate your feedback on these, particularly on any complaints protocols put in place as part of the overall governance arrangements for partnerships your Council has set up.

/...

## **Conclusions and general observations**

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

**Tony Redmond**  
**Local Government Ombudsman**  
**10th floor, Millbank Tower**  
**Millbank**  
**London**  
**SW1P 4QP**

**June 2008**

Enc: Statistical data  
Note on interpretation of statistics  
Leaflet on training courses (with posted copy only)

<b>Complaints received by subject area</b>	<b>Housing</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Planning &amp; building control</b>	<b>Public finance</b>	<b>Transport and highways</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008</b>	3	1	5	0	1	<b>10</b>
<b>2006 / 2007</b>	1	3	6	2	1	<b>13</b>
<b>2005 / 2006</b>	3	2	5	0	0	<b>10</b>

**Note:** these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

<b>Decisions</b>	<b>MI reps</b>	<b>LS</b>	<b>M reps</b>	<b>NM reps</b>	<b>No mal</b>	<b>Omb disc</b>	<b>Outside jurisdiction</b>	<b>Premature complaints</b>	<b>Total excl premature</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008</b>	0	2	0	0	1	2	2	4	7	<b>11</b>
<b>2006 / 2007</b>	0	1	0	0	6	2	3	5	12	<b>17</b>
<b>2005 / 2006</b>	0	1	0	0	5	3	1	1	10	<b>11</b>

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

<b>Response times</b>	<b>FIRST ENQUIRIES</b>	
	<b>No. of First Enquiries</b>	<b>Avg no. of days to respond</b>
<b>01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008</b>	2	13.5
<b>2006 / 2007</b>	6	25.3
<b>2005 / 2006</b>	3	27.7

**Average local authority response times 01/04/2007 to 31/03/2008**

<b>Types of authority</b>	<b>&lt;= 28 days %</b>	<b>29 - 35 days %</b>	<b>&gt; = 36 days %</b>
District Councils	56.4	24.6	19.1
Unitary Authorities	41.3	50.0	8.7
Metropolitan Authorities	58.3	30.6	11.1
County Councils	47.1	38.2	14.7
London Boroughs	45.5	27.3	27.3
National Park Authorities	71.4	28.6	0.0