



The Commission for
Local Administration in England

**The Local Government Ombudsman's
Annual Letter
Eastleigh Borough Council
for the year ended
31 March 2007**

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) investigates complaints by members of the public who consider that they have been caused injustice through administrative fault by local authorities and certain other bodies. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.

Annual Letter 2006/07 - Introduction

The aim of the annual letter is to provide a summary of information on the complaints about your authority that we have received and try to draw any lessons learned about the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements. These might then be fed back into service improvement.

I hope that the letter will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

There are two attachments which form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

Complaints received

Volume

The number of complaints I received against your Council this year reduced considerably, from 23 to 12.

Character

Complaints about planning and building control reduced from 18 to seven. I note that in my letter to you a year ago I observed that complaints about planning matters were increasing as a proportion of complaints received against your Council, and I am pleased that this trend appears to have been reversed. The remainder of the complaints spanned a number of service areas.

Decisions on complaints

Reports and local settlements

We use the term 'local settlement' to describe the outcome of a complaint where, during the course of our investigation, the Council takes, or agrees to take, some action which we consider is a satisfactory response to the complaint and the investigation does not need to be completed. These form a significant proportion of the complaints we determine. When we complete an investigation we must issue a report.

During the year I made 15 decisions on complaints against your Council. Of the 15 decisions made, I upheld only one, and the Council agreed to settle that complaint locally. It concerned a failure to remove a warning letter about misconduct from a taxi-driver's records after three years, despite a promise to do so. The Council agreed in this case to pay the complainant £50 and to meet with him and his trade union representative to discuss any outstanding concerns. I welcome the Council's willingness to remedy a complaint where there appears to have been some fault.

I did not need to issue any reports against your Council this year.

Other findings

One complaint determined this year was about a matter outside my jurisdiction. In ten other cases there was insufficient evidence of administrative fault or significant injustice and so further investigation was not justified.

Your Council's complaints procedure and handling of complaints

This year I referred three complaints back for the Council to deal with under its own complaints procedure. This low figure seems to indicate that the Council's own complaints procedure is well-

publicised and operating effectively. The Council's website contains clear information about the complaint procedure as well as information about my office.

Training in complaint handling

As part of our role to provide advice in good administrative practice, we offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. The feedback from courses that have been delivered over the past two and a half years is very positive.

The range of courses is expanding in response to demand. We offer generic courses in Good Complaint Handling (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution). We can run open courses for groups of staff from smaller authorities and also customise courses to meet your Council's specific requirements.

All courses are presented by an experienced investigator so participants benefit from their knowledge and expertise of complaint handling.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and any further bookings.

Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

My staff made enquiries of the Council on five cases during the year. The average response time was 42.4 days. The target response time is 28 days. I note that in 2004/05 the Council's average was 20 days, and that this increased to 31.6 days in 2005/06. When I wrote to you last year I invited the Council to consider how it might improve on its performance in this area, and so the further increase this year is particularly disappointing. You may wish to consider whether the training programme I have referred to above could assist the Council in making some improvements here.

LGO developments

I thought it would be helpful to update you on a project we are implementing to improve the first contact that people have with us as part of our customer focus initiative. We are developing a new Access and Advice Service that will provide a gateway to our services for all complainants and enquirers. It will be mainly telephone-based but will also deal with email, text and letter correspondence. As the project progresses we will keep you informed about developments and expected timescales.

Changes brought about by the Local Government Bill are also expected to impact on the way that we work and again we will keep you informed as relevant.

We have just issued a special report that draws on our experience of dealing with complaints about planning applications for phone masts considered under the prior approval system, which can be highly controversial. We recommend simple measures that councils can adopt to minimise the problems that can occur.

A further special report will be published in July focusing on the difficulties that can be encountered when complaints are received by local authorities about services delivered through a partnership. *Local partnerships and citizen redress* sets out our advice and guidance on how these problems can be overcome by adopting good governance arrangements that include an effective complaints protocol.

Conclusions and general observations

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

J R White
Local Government Ombudsman
The Oaks
No 2 Westwood Way
Westwood Business Park
Coventry
CV4 8JB

June 2007

Enc: Statistical data
Note on interpretation of statistics
Leaflet on training courses (with posted copy only)

Complaints received by subject area	Benefits	Housing	Other	Planning & building control	Public finance	Transport and highways	Total
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	0	1	4	7	1	2	15
2005 / 2006	0	0	4	18	1	0	23
2004 / 2005	1	1	5	11	0	0	18

Note: these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

Decisions	MI reps	LS	M reps	NM reps	No mal	Omb disc	Outside jurisdiction	Premature complaints	Total excl premature	Total
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	0	1	0	0	8	2	1	3	12	15
2005 / 2006	0	0	0	0	2	5	6	4	13	17
2004 / 2005	0	0	0	0	10	4	3	5	17	22

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

Response times	FIRST ENQUIRIES	
	No. of First Enquiries	Avg no. of days to respond
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	5	42.4
2005 / 2006	10	31.6
2004 / 2005	4	20.0

Average local authority response times 01/04/2006 to 31/03/2007

Types of authority	<= 28 days %	29 - 35 days %	>= 36 days %
District Councils	48.9	23.4	27.7
Unitary Authorities	30.4	37.0	32.6
Metropolitan Authorities	38.9	41.7	19.4
County Councils	47.1	32.3	20.6
London Boroughs	39.4	33.3	27.3
National Park Authorities	66.7	33.3	0.0