

The Commission for Local Administration in England

The Local Government Ombudsman's Annual Letter **Chichester District Council** for the year ended

31 March 2007

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) investigates complaints by members of the public who consider that they have been caused injustice through administrative fault by local authorities and certain other bodies. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.

Annual Letter 2006/07 - Introduction

The aim of the annual letter is to provide a summary of information on the complaints about your authority that we have received and try to draw any lessons learned about the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements. These might then be fed back into service improvement.

I hope that the letter will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

There are two attachments which form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

Complaints received

We received 11 complaints against your Council, down from 25 in the previous year and 18 the year before that. Five of these concerned planning and building control. Others were about waste management, housing repair notices and anti-social behaviour.

Decisions on complaints

Reports and local settlements

We use the term 'local settlement' to describe the outcome of a complaint where, during the course of our investigation, the Council takes, or agrees to take, some action which we consider is a satisfactory response to the complaint and the investigation does not need to be completed. These form a significant proportion of the complaints we determine. When we complete an investigation we must issue a report. There were no settlements reached or reports issued this year.

Other findings

I made 19 decisions on complaints against your Council, excluding those which were referred back to the Council to put through your own complaints procedure first. In 13 of these I found no or insufficient evidence of maladministration, one was outside my jurisdiction and in the other five cases I exercised my discretion not to continue to investigate.

Your Council's complaints procedure and handling of complaints

My office referred 2 complaints back to the Council to be dealt with under its complaints procedure. We decided one complaint which had previously been referred back to the Council and which the complainant resubmitted, but we did not uphold it.

I should be interested to learn how the Council's new 2 stage complaints procedure is operating. Perhaps you could send me a copy of any monitoring report which is compiled.

Training in complaint handling

As part of our role to provide advice in good administrative practice, we offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. The feedback from courses that have been delivered over the past two and a half years is very positive.

Page 2

The range of courses is expanding in response to demand. We offer the generic Good Complaint Handing (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution). We can run open courses for groups of staff from smaller authorities and also customise courses to meet your Council's specific requirements. All courses are presented by an experienced investigator so participants benefit from their knowledge and expertise of complaint handling.

I note that you expressed an interest in the training we offer following last year's Annual Letter. I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and bookings, should you wish to follow this up.

Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

In last year's letter I drew attention to the Council's relatively slow response times when answering the written enquiries we make on complaints. In your reply you declared an intention to improve in this area and in 2006/07 the average time taken by the Council to respond to my first written enquiries was 24.6 days. This is a substantial reduction and is now within the target timescale of 28 days which I ask councils to comply with. I am grateful for that improvement, which helps ensure that complainants receive timely replies to their complaints to us.

The Deputy Ombudsman visited the Council in July to talk about the annual letter and to discuss other issues. I hope that officers and members found his presentation to be informative.

LGO developments

I thought it would be helpful to update you on a project we are implementing to improve the first contact that people have with us as part of our customer focus initiative. We are developing a new Access and Advice Service that will provide a gateway to our services for all complainants and enquirers. It will be mainly telephone-based but will also deal with email, text and letter correspondence. As the project progresses we will keep you informed about developments and expected timescales.

Changes brought about by the Local Government Bill are also expected to impact on the way that we work and again we will keep you informed as relevant.

We have just issued a special report that draws on our experience of dealing with complaints about planning applications for phone masts considered under the prior approval system, which can be highly controversial. We recommend simple measures that councils can adopt to minimise the problems that can occur.

A further special report will be published in July focusing on the difficulties that can be encountered when complaints are received by local authorities about services delivered through a partnership. *Local partnerships and citizen redress* sets out our advice and guidance on how these problems can be overcome by adopting good governance arrangements that include an effective complaints protocol.

Page 3

Conclusions and general observations

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

Tony Redmond Local Government Ombudsman 10th floor, Millbank Tower Millbank London SW1P 4QP

June 2007

Enc: Statistical data Note on interpretation of statistics Leaflet on training courses (with posted copy only)

Complaints received by subject area	Benefits	Housing	Other	Planning & building control	Public finance	Transport and highways	Total
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	0	1	4	5	0	1	11
2005 / 2006	1	0	6	13	3	2	25
2004 / 2005	1	1	4	8	3	1	18

Note: these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

۵	Decisions	MI reps	LS	M reps	NM reps	No mal	Omb disc	Outside jurisdiction	Premature complaints	Total excl premature	Total
	01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	0	0	0	0	13	5	1	2	19	21
	2005 / 2006	0	3	0	0	7	4	2	4	16	20
	2004 / 2005	1	1	0	0	8	2	1	4	13	17

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

	FIRST ENQUIRIES					
Response times	No. of First Enquiries	Avg no. of days to respond				
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	5	24.6				
2005 / 2006	15	33.5				
2004 / 2005	7	74.9				

Average local authority response times 01/04/2006 to 31/03/2007

Types of authority	<= 28 days	29 - 35 days	> = 36 days	
	%	%	%	
District Councils	48.9	23.4	27.7	
Unitary Authorities	30.4	37.0	32.6	
Metropolitan Authorities	38.9	41.7	19.4	
County Councils	47.1	32.3	20.6	
London Boroughs	39.4	33.3	27.3	
National Park Authorities	66.7	33.3	0.0	