

The Commission for Local Administration in England

The Local Government Ombudsman's Annual Letter **Barrow Borough Council** for the year ended 31 March 2007

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) investigates complaints by members of the public who consider that they have been caused injustice through administrative fault by local authorities and certain other bodies. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.

Annual Letter 2006/07 - Introduction

This annual letter provides a summary of the complaints we have received about your authority. Where possible, we comment on the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements to assist with your service improvement.

I hope that the letter will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

Two attachments form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

Complaints received

Volume

Last year only nine complaints were received against the Council, well under half of those received in both of the two previous years.

Character

Less than a third of those previously received were about planning matters.

Decisions on complaints

Reports and local settlements

A 'local settlement' is a complaint that is resolved by the Council taking, or agreeing to take, action which we consider is a satisfactory response to the complaint so that the investigation can be discontinued. In 2006/07 27.7% of complaints dealt with by the three Local Government Ombudsmen (excluding premature and those outside jurisdiction) were resolved by local settlement. When we complete an investigation we must issue a report.

I found no need to report on any complaints against the Council, nor yet to locally settle any complaints, as I found no maladministration on the part of the Council during the last year.

Other findings

Last year decisions were taken upon nine complaints, of which three were considered to be premature, in the sense that the Council had not yet had a proper opportunity itself to consider these complaints.

Your Council's complaints procedure and handling of complaints

I was pleased to note that the Council's own complaints procedure is adequately advertised upon its website, and also that there is a clear reference to my annual letters, as well as a clear link to the Commission's own website, providing ready access to the Commission's services for any potential complainant not satisfied with the Council's own procedure.

Training in complaint handling

Part of our role is to provide advice and guidance about good administrative practice. We offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. The feedback from councils that have taken up the training is very positive.

The range of courses is expanding in response to demand and in addition to the generic Good Complaint Handing (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution), we can run open courses for groups of staff from smaller authorities and also customise courses to meet your Council's specific requirements.

All courses are presented by an experienced investigator so participants benefit from their knowledge and expertise of complaint handling.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and any further bookings.

I am pleased to note that, together with other councils in Cumbria, Barrow is considering the possibility of contributing to a collective training course for complaints handling in Cumbria, and I hope that this proposal may reach fruition during the coming year.

Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

I note with regret that the average time taken by the Council to respond to the enquiries made by investigators upon those complaints they have chosen to investigate rose to nearly 40 calendar days last year. This compares unfavourably with the averages of some 22 calendar days during both of the two previous years. Last year only 27% of district councils took longer than 36 days on average to respond to such enquiries. I am hopeful, therefore, that the Council's performance may improve significantly during the coming year and return to the swift levels of performance it reached in the two previous years.

During the year you welcomed the Assistant Ombudsman who now leads the team of investigators dealing with complaints against your Council. This visit was a useful opportunity to explain changes within the Commission's structure, procedures and objectives; discuss complaints; consider training and to meet the staff who deal with our enquiries. I hope that the relationship will continue to be constructive.

LGO developments

You may be interested in the development of our initiative to improve the first contact that people have with us. A new Access and Advice Service will provide a gateway to our services for all complainants and enquirers. It will encourage telephone contact but will also deal with email, text and letter correspondence. We will let you have further details about how it will operate and the expected timescales and discuss with you the implications for the Council.

I hope you have received our latest special report about telecommunications masts. It draws on our experience of dealing with complaints about planning applications for masts which can be highly controversial. We recommend simple measures that councils can adopt to minimise the chances of maladministration occurring.

In July we will be publishing a special report about the difficulties that can be encountered with complaints when local authorities deliver services or discharge their functions through partnerships.

Local partnerships and citizen redress provides advice and guidance on how these problems can be overcome by good governance arrangements that include an effective complaints protocol.

Conclusions and general observations

I welcome this opportunity to comment on our experience of complaints about the Council over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

Anne Seex Local Government Ombudsman Beverley House 17Shipton Road YORK YO30 5FZ

June 2007

Enc: Statistical data Note on interpretation of statistics Leaflet on training courses (with posted copy only)

Complaints received by subject area	Benefits	Housing	Other	Planning & building control	Public finance	Transport and highways	Total
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	1	0	2	3	0	3	9
2005 / 2006	0	5	6	11	1	0	23
2004 / 2005	0	7	1	8	1	2	19

Note: these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

[Decisions	MI reps	LS	M reps	NM reps	No mal	Omb disc	Outside jurisdiction	Premature complaints	Total excl premature	Total
	01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	3	6	9
	2005 / 2006	0	2	0	0	10	1	1	8	14	22
	2004 / 2005	0	2	0	0	6	3	1	8	12	20

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

	FIRST ENQUIRIES					
Response times	No. of First Enquiries	Avg no. of days to respond				
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	3	39.7				
2005 / 2006	5	22.2				
2004 / 2005	7	21.3				

Average local authority response times 01/04/2006 to 31/03/2007

Types of authority	<= 28 days	29 - 35 days	> = 36 days	
	%	%	%	
District Councils	48.9	23.4	27.7	
Unitary Authorities	30.4	37.0	32.6	
Metropolitan Authorities	38.9	41.7	19.4	
County Councils	47.1	32.3	20.6	
London Boroughs	39.4	33.3	27.3	
National Park Authorities	66.7	33.3	0.0	