

**The Local Government Ombudsman's
Annual Review**

Darlington Borough Council
for the year ended
31 March 2010

Local Government Ombudsmen (LGOs) provide a free, independent and impartial service. We consider complaints about the administrative actions of councils and some other authorities. We cannot question what a council has done simply because someone does not agree with it. If we find something has gone wrong, such as poor service, service failure, delay or bad advice, and that a person has suffered as a result, we aim to get it put right by recommending a suitable remedy. We also use the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual reviews.

Contents of Annual Review

| | |
|--|----------|
| Section 1: Complaints about Darlington Borough Council 2009/10..... | 3 |
| Introduction..... | 3 |
| Enquiries and complaints received..... | 3 |
| Complaint outcomes..... | 3 |
| Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman..... | 4 |
| Training in complaint handling..... | 4 |
| Conclusions..... | 4 |
| Section 2: LGO developments..... | 5 |
| Introduction..... | 5 |
| New schools complaints service launched..... | 5 |
| Adult social care: new powers from October..... | 5 |
| Council first..... | 5 |
| Training in complaint handling..... | 6 |
| Statements of reasons..... | 6 |
| Delivering public value..... | 6 |
| Appendix 1: Notes to assist interpretation of the statistics 2009/10..... | 7 |
| Appendix 2: Local authority report 2009/10 | |

Section 1: Complaints about Darlington Borough Council 2009/10

Introduction

This annual review provides a summary of the complaints we have dealt with about Darlington Borough Council. I hope that the review will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

There are two appendices to the review: statistical data for 2009/10 and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

Enquiries and complaints received

In the year to 31 March 2010 the Commission received a total of 26 enquiries and complaints. In eight cases simple advice was given to the complainant but one complaint was judged by our Advice Team to be premature. This complaint was either sent on to you with a request that the matter be put through the Council's own complaints procedure or, alternatively, the complainant was advised to make a formal complaint themselves to the Council. 17 complaints were sent to me for consideration. Of these, two were complaints initially determined by our Advice Team as premature but re-submitted to me by complainants dissatisfied with the way in which the Council had dealt with their complaint. The remaining 15 complaints were new complaints.

Of the 17 complaints forwarded to me for consideration, planning, as in previous years, was the single largest category with seven.

Complaint outcomes

I determined 21 complaints during the year, a figure which differs from the number of complaints received because of work in hand at the beginning and the end of the year.

Of those complaints determined by me, three were closed on the basis that they were not within my jurisdiction while in three further complaints I exercised the general discretion available to me not to pursue the matter. In eight cases I found no evidence of maladministration by the Council sufficient to justify my continued involvement. The Council agreed to settle the remaining seven complaints accepting that something had gone wrong and that it was appropriate to provide a remedy of some description for the complainant.

Reports

I issued no public reports against the Council this year.

Local settlements

We will often discontinue enquiries into a complaint when a council takes or agrees to take action that we consider to be a satisfactory response – we call these local settlements. 26.9% of all decisions on complaints in the Ombudsmen's jurisdiction were local settlements. The seven complaints which the Council agreed to settle during the year amounts to 39% of the total number of complaints I determined and which were within my jurisdiction.

Out of the seven complaints locally settled only two involved money - £1000 compensation in an

adult care services complaint and £3,175 compensation for a family living in overcrowded conditions much longer than was necessary.

Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

I ask all authorities to respond to my enquiries within 28 calendar days. The Council took on average 18.9 days to respond to my enquiries during the year. This continues the improvement on the last two years and the Council is to be congratulated for its efforts.

Training in complaint handling

Part of our role is to provide advice and guidance about good administrative practice. We offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. All courses are presented by experienced investigators. They give participants the opportunity to practise the skills needed to deal with complaints positively and efficiently. We can also provide customised courses to help authorities to deal with particular issues and occasional open courses for individuals from different authorities.

Conclusions

I hope this review provides a useful opportunity for you to reflect on how the Council deals with those complaints that residents make to my office. If there are any issues that you wish to discuss, I or one of my senior colleagues would be happy to meet with the Council.

**Mrs A Seex
Local Government Ombudsman
Beverley House
17 Shipton Road
YORK
YO30 5FZ**

June 2010

Section 2: LGO developments

Introduction

This annual review also provides an opportunity to bring councils up to date on developments in the LGO and to seek feedback.

New schools complaints service launched

In April 2010 we launched the first pilot phase of a complaints service extending our jurisdiction to consider parent and pupil complaints about state schools in four local authority areas. This power was introduced by the Apprenticeships, Skills, Children and Learning Act 2009.

The first phase involves schools in Barking and Dagenham, Cambridgeshire, Medway and Sefton. The Secretary of State no longer considers complaints about schools in these areas. In September the schools in a further 10 local authority areas are set to join the pilot phase.

We are working closely with colleagues in the pilot areas and their schools, including providing training and information sessions, to shape the design and delivery of the new service. It is intended that by September 2011 our jurisdiction will cover all state schools in England.

A new team in each office now deals with all complaints about children's services and education on behalf of the Ombudsman. Arrangements for cooperation with Ofsted on related work areas have been agreed.

For further information see the new schools pages on our website at www.lgo.org.uk/schools/

Adult social care: new powers from October

The Health Act 2009 extended the Ombudsmen's powers to investigate complaints about privately arranged and funded adult social care. These powers come into effect from 1 October 2010 (or when the Care Quality Commission has re-registered all adult care providers undertaking regulated activity). Provision of care that is arranged by an individual and funded from direct payments comes within this new jurisdiction.

Each Ombudsman has set up a team to deal with all adult social care complaints on their behalf. We expect that many complaints from people who have arranged and funded their care will involve the actions of both the local authority and the care provider. We are developing information-sharing agreements with the Care Quality Commission and with councils in their roles as adult safeguarding leads and service commissioners.

Council first

We introduced our Council first procedure in April last year. With some exceptions, we require complainants to go through all stages of a council's own complaints procedure before we will consider the complaint. It aims to build on the improved handling of complaints by councils.

We are going to research the views of people whose complaints have been referred to councils as premature. We are also still keen to hear from councils about how the procedure is working, particularly on the exception categories. Details of the categories of complaint that are normally treated as exceptions are on our website at www.lgo.org.uk/guide-for-advisers/council-response

Training in complaint handling

Demand for our training in complaint handling has remained high, with 118 courses delivered over the year to 53 different authorities. Our core Effective Complaint Handling course is still the most popular – we ran some of these as open courses for groups of staff from different authorities. These are designed to assist those authorities that wish to train small numbers of staff and give them an opportunity to share ideas and experience with other authorities.

The new Effective Complaint Handling in Adult Social Care course, driven by the introduction of the new statutory complaints arrangements in health and adult social care in April 2009, was also popular. It accounted for just over a third of bookings.

Over the next year we intend to carry out a thorough review of local authority training needs to ensure that the programme continues to deliver learning outcomes that improve complaint handling by councils.

Statements of reasons

Last year we consulted councils on our broad proposals for introducing statements of reasons on the individual decisions of an Ombudsman following the investigation of a complaint. We received very supportive and constructive feedback on the proposals, which aim to provide greater transparency and increase understanding of our work. Since then we have been carrying out more detailed work, including our new powers. We intend to introduce the new arrangements in the near future.

Delivering public value

We hope this information gives you an insight into the major changes happening within the LGO, many of which will have a direct impact on your authority. We will keep you up to date through LGO Link as each development progresses, but if there is anything you wish to discuss in the meantime please let me know.

Mindful of the current economic climate, financial stringencies and our public accountability, we are determined to continue to increase the efficiency, cost-effectiveness and public value of our work.

**Mrs A Seex
Local Government Ombudsman
Beverley House
17 Shipton Road
YORK
YO30 5FZ**

June 2010

Appendix 1: Notes to assist interpretation of the statistics 2009/10

Table 1. LGO Advice Team: Enquiries and complaints received

This information shows the number of enquiries and complaints received by the LGO, broken down by service area and in total. It also shows how these were dealt with, as follows.

Premature complaints: The LGO does not normally consider a complaint unless a council has first had an opportunity to deal with that complaint itself. So if someone complains to the LGO without having taken the matter up with a council, the LGO will either refer it back to the council as a 'premature complaint' to see if the council can itself resolve the matter, or give advice to the enquirer that their complaint is premature.

Advice given: These are enquiries where the LGO Advice Team has given advice on why the LGO would not be able to consider the complaint, other than the complaint is premature. For example, the complaint may clearly be outside the LGO's jurisdiction.

Forwarded to the investigative team (resubmitted premature and new): These are new cases forwarded to the Investigative Team for further consideration and cases where the complainant has resubmitted their complaint to the LGO after it has been put to the council.

Table 2. Investigative Team: Decisions

This information records the number of decisions made by the LGO Investigative Team, broken down by outcome, within the period given. **This number will not be the same as the number of complaints forwarded from the LGO Advice Team** because some complaints decided in 2009/10 will already have been in hand at the beginning of the year, and some forwarded to the Investigative Team during 2009/10 will still be in hand at the end of the year. Below we set out a key explaining the outcome categories.

MI reps: where the LGO has concluded an investigation and issued a formal report finding maladministration causing injustice.

LS (local settlements): decisions by letter discontinuing our investigation because action has been agreed by the authority and accepted by the LGO as a satisfactory outcome for the complainant.

M reps: where the LGO has concluded an investigation and issued a formal report finding maladministration but causing no injustice to the complainant.

NM reps: where the LGO has concluded an investigation and issued a formal report finding no maladministration by the council.

No mal: decisions by letter discontinuing an investigation because we have found no, or insufficient, evidence of maladministration.

Omb disc: decisions by letter discontinuing an investigation in which we have exercised the LGO's general discretion not to pursue the complaint. This can be for a variety of reasons, but the most common is that we have found no or insufficient injustice to warrant pursuing the matter further.

Outside jurisdiction: these are cases which were outside the LGO's jurisdiction.

Table 3. Response times

These figures record the average time the council takes to respond to our first enquiries on a complaint. We measure this in calendar days from the date we send our letter/fax/email to the date that we receive a substantive response from the council. The council's figures may differ somewhat, since they are likely to be recorded from the date the council receives our letter until the despatch of its response.–

Table 4. Average local authority response times 2009/10

This table gives comparative figures for average response times by authorities in England, by type of authority, within three time bands.

LGO Advice Team

| Enquiries and complaints received | Adult care services | Children and family services | Education | Housing | Benefits | Public Finance inc. Local Taxation | Planning and building control | Transport and highways | Other | Total |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Formal/informal premature complaints | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Advice given | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Forwarded to investigative team (resubmitted prematures) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Forwarded to investigative team (new) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 15 |
| Total | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 26 |

Investigative Team

| Decisions | MI reps | LS | M reps | NM reps | No mal | Omb disc | Outside jurisdiction | Total |
|------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| 2009 / 2010 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 21 |

Average local authority resp times 01/04/2009 to 31/03/2010

| Response times | FIRST ENQUIRIES | |
|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| | No. of First Enquiries | Avg no. of days to respond |
| 1/04/2009 / 31/03/2010 | 7 | 18.9 |
| 2008 / 2009 | 9 | 22.9 |
| 2007 / 2008 | 28 | 27.9 |

| Types of authority | <= 28 days % | 29 - 35 days % | > = 36 days % |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|
| District Councils | 61 | 22 | 17 |
| Unitary Authorities | 68 | 26 | 6 |
| Metropolitan Authorities | 70 | 22 | 8 |
| County Councils | 58 | 32 | 10 |
| London Boroughs | 52 | 36 | 12 |
| National Parks Authorities | 60 | 20 | 20 |