

# The Local Government Ombudsman's Annual Letter Guildford Borough Council

for the year ended 31 March 2008

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) provides a free, independent and impartial service. We consider complaints about the administrative actions of councils and some other authorities. We cannot question what a council has done simply because someone does not agree with it. If we find something has gone wrong, such as poor service, service failure, delay or bad advice, and that a person has suffered as a result, the Ombudsmen aim to get it put right by recommending a suitable remedy. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.

#### Annual Letter 2007/08 - Introduction

This annual letter provides a summary of the complaints we have received about your authority. We have included comments on the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements, where possible, so they can assist with your service improvement.

I hope that the letter will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

Two attachments form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

# Complaints received

#### Volume

In 2007/08 I received 17 complaints against your Council. In the previous year I received 15, so the total number is broadly the same.

#### Character

Planning complaints were again most common: I received six complaints, five about planning applications and one about advice. I also received two complaints about housing matters (one concerning housing repairs and the other about housing allocations), and two concerned parking tickets, anti-social behaviour, and drainage.

# **Decisions on complaints**

During 2007/08 I made decisions on 20 cases.

#### Reports and local settlements

A 'local settlement' is a complaint where, during the course of our investigation, the Council has agreed to take some action which we consider is a satisfactory response to the complaint. The investigation is then discontinued. In 2007/08 the Local Government Ombudsmen determined 27% of complaints by local settlement (excluding 'premature' complaints - where councils have not had a proper chance to deal with them - and those outside our jurisdiction). None of the complaints we investigated this year justified the issue of a report.

One local settlement was agreed. The Council notified the complainant and others of a planning application described as a loft conversion. The complainant saw no reason to object to this. But the building was in fact being increased in height from 5.2 metres to 7.8 metres. The change was noted in the case officer's report but neighbours were not told. Only afterwards did the complainant discover the true nature of the development. She objected to the proposal, but was too late.

I did not consider that the complainant's comments would have affected the outcome if they had been considered by the Council, but I felt she had been caused distress which could have been avoided if the appropriate notification had taken place. The Council agreed to a payment of £250 to reflect the distress caused.

#### Other findings

In nine cases, I did not find evidence of fault in the Council's actions. Two complaints concerned matters outside my jurisdiction. In three cases I used my discretion not to investigate further. Finally, five cases were referred back to the Council as I did not consider you had an adequate opportunity to consider and respond before I became involved.

## **Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman**

I ask councils to reply to my enquiries within 28 calendar days. Your Council's average response time was well within this target, for which I thank you.

# Training in complaint handling

Part of our role is to provide advice and guidance about good administrative practice. We offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. This year we carried out a detailed evaluation of the training with councils that have been trained over the past three years. The results are very positive.

The range of courses is expanding in response to demand. In addition to the generic Good Complaint Handling (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution) we now offer these courses specifically for social services staff and a course on reviewing complaints for social care review panel members. We can run open courses for groups of staff from different smaller authorities and also customise courses to meet your Council's specific requirements.

All courses are presented by an experienced investigator so participants benefit from their knowledge and expertise of complaint handling.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and any further bookings.

# **LGO** developments

We launched the LGO Advice Team in April, providing a first contact service for all enquirers and new complainants. Demand for the service has been high. Our team of advisers, trained to provide comprehensive information and advice, has dealt with many thousands of calls since the service started.

The team handles complaints submitted by telephone, email or text, as well as in writing. This new power to accept complaints other than in writing was one of the provisions of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act, which also came into force in April. Our experience of implementing other provisions in the Act, such as complaints about service failure and apparent maladministration, is being kept under review and will be subject to further discussion. Any feedback from your Council would be welcome.

Last year we published two special reports providing advice and guidance on 'applications for prior approval of telecommunications masts' and 'citizen redress in local partnerships'. Again, I would appreciate your feedback on these, particularly on any complaints protocols put in place as part of the overall governance arrangements for partnerships your Council has set up.

## Conclusions and general observations

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

Tony Redmond Local Government Ombudsman 10th floor, Millbank Tower Millbank London SW1P 4QP Enc: Statistical data

Note on interpretation of statistics Leaflet on training courses (with posted copy only) June 2008

Complaints received by subject area	Housing	Other	Planning & building control	Public finance	Transport and highways	Total
01/04/2007 -	2	7	6	0	2	17
31/03/2008 2006 / 2007	2	7	4	2	0	15
2005 / 2006	3	6	18	1	0	28

Note: these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

Decisions	MI reps	LS	M reps	NM reps	No mal	Omb disc	Outside jurisdiction	Premature complaints	Total excl premature	Total
01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008	0	1	0	0	8	4	2	5	15	20
2006 / 2007	0	1	0	0	4	4	2	5	11	16
2005 / 2006	0	5	0	0	13	4	3	6	25	31

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

	FIRST ENQUIRIES				
Response times	No. of First Enquiries	Avg no. of days to respond			
01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008	2	17.5			
2006 / 2007	9	19.6			
2005 / 2006	12	23.3			

# Average local authority response times 01/04/2007 to 31/03/2008

Types of authority	<= 28 days	29 - 35 days	> = 36 days
	%	%	%
District Councils	56.4	24.6	19.1
Unitary Authorities	41.3	50.0	8.7
Metropolitan Authorities	58.3	30.6	11.1
County Councils	47.1	38.2	14.7
London Boroughs	45.5	27.3	27.3
National Park Authorities	71.4	28.6	0.0

Printed: 08/05/2008 15:23