

Local Government
OMBUDSMAN

**The Local Government Ombudsman's
Annual Letter**

Bromsgrove District Council
for the year ended
31 March 2008

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) provides a free, independent and impartial service. We consider complaints about the administrative actions of councils and some other authorities. We cannot question what a council has done simply because someone does not agree with it. If we find something has gone wrong, such as poor service, service failure, delay or bad advice, and that a person has suffered as a result, the Ombudsmen aim to get it put right by recommending a suitable remedy. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.

Annual Letter 2007/08 - Introduction

This annual letter provides a summary of the complaints we have received about Bromsgrove District Council. We have included comments on the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements, where possible, so they can assist with your service improvement.

I hope that the letter will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

Two attachments form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

Complaints received

Volume

We received 25 complaints against your Council during the year, eight fewer than last year. The reduction in complaints brings the number received closer to those for the year 2005/06.

Character

Planning and building control remains the most significant subject area with 12 complaints, nearly half of all complaints we received about your Council. But complaints in this area also showed the biggest reduction as we received six fewer than last year.

Complaints received about all other subject areas remained very similar to last year.

Decisions on complaints

Reports and local settlements

When we complete an investigation we issue a report. I issued no reports against your Council.

A 'local settlement' is a complaint where, during the course of our investigation, the Council has agreed to take some action which we consider is a satisfactory response to the complaint. The investigation is then discontinued.

In 2007/08 the Local Government Ombudsmen determined some 27% of complaints by local settlement (excluding 'premature' complaints - where councils have not had a proper chance to deal with them - and those outside our jurisdiction).

One complaint against your Council was settled locally. The complaint concerned enforcement of a planning condition intended to ensure that landscaping would provide an instant visual screen of the development. The Council had accepted planting that did not meet the height or density specified in the landscaping scheme. On my recommendation, the Council revised its decision and ensured that improved planting was provided. It also paid the complainant compensation of £300.

Other findings

29 complaints were decided during the year. Of these, three were outside my jurisdiction. Seven complaints were premature and one was settled locally. In 13 complaints there was no evidence of maladministration and I exercised my discretion not to pursue the remaining five.

Your Council's complaints procedure and handling of complaints

The number of premature complaints has reduced this year from nine to seven. None of these was resubmitted to me.

Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

Our target for councils to respond to our enquiries is 28 days. Last year the Council failed to meet this target and I asked it to put in place arrangements to ensure that it would improve its response times.

This year the Council met our target and I thank it for its efforts here. We made enquiries on 13 complaints this year and the average time taken to respond was 27 days. In addition to this my investigators noted that the responses are generally clear, well presented and comprehensive.

Training in complaint handling

Part of our role is to provide advice and guidance about good administrative practice. We offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. This year we carried out a detailed evaluation of the training with councils that have been trained over the past three years. The results are very positive.

The range of courses is expanding in response to demand. In addition to the generic Good Complaint Handling (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution) we can run open courses for groups of staff from different smaller authorities and also customise courses to meet your Council's specific requirements.

All courses are presented by an experienced investigator so participants benefit from their knowledge and expertise of complaint handling.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and any further bookings.

LGO developments

We launched the LGO Advice Team in April, providing a first contact service for all enquirers and new complainants. Demand for the service has been high. Our team of advisers, trained to provide comprehensive information and advice, have dealt with many thousands of calls since the service started.

The team handles complaints submitted by telephone, email or text, as well as in writing. This new power to accept complaints other than in writing was one of the provisions of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act, which also came into force in April. Our experience of implementing other provisions in the Act, such as complaints about service failure and apparent maladministration, is being kept under review and will be subject to further discussion. Any feedback from your Council would be welcome.

Last year we published two special reports providing advice and guidance on 'applications for prior approval of telecommunications masts' and 'citizen redress in local partnerships'. I would appreciate your feedback on these, particularly on any complaints protocols put in place as part of the overall governance arrangements for partnerships your Council has set up.

Conclusions and general observations

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

**J R White
Local Government Ombudsman
The Oaks No2
Westwood Way
Westwood Business Park
Coventry CV4 8JB**

18 June 2008

Enc: Statistical data
Note on interpretation of statistics
Leaflet on training courses (with posted copy only)

Complaints received by subject area	Benefits	Housing	Other	Planning & building control	Public finance	Transport and highways	Total
01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008	2	0	7	12	2	2	25
2006 / 2007	3	1	6	18	4	1	33
2005 / 2006	1	0	4	15	1	2	23

Note: these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

Decisions	MI reps	LS	M reps	NM reps	No mal	Omb disc	Outside jurisdiction	Premature complaints	Total excl premature	Total
01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008	0	1	0	0	13	5	3	7	22	29
2006 / 2007	0	7	0	0	11	1	4	9	23	32
2005 / 2006	0	2	0	0	6	1	3	6	12	18

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

Response times	FIRST ENQUIRIES	
	No. of First Enquiries	Avg no. of days to respond
01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008	13	27.4
2006 / 2007	18	34.3
2005 / 2006	7	26.4

Average local authority response times 01/04/2007 to 31/03/2008

Types of authority	<= 28 days %	29 - 35 days %	> = 36 days %
District Councils	56.4	24.6	19.1
Unitary Authorities	41.3	50.0	8.7
Metropolitan Authorities	58.3	30.6	11.1
County Councils	47.1	38.2	14.7
London Boroughs	45.5	27.3	27.3
National Park Authorities	71.4	28.6	0.0