

The Local Government Ombudsman's Annual Letter to Teesdale District Council for the year ended 31 March 2007

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) investigates complaints by members of the public who consider that they have been caused injustice through administrative fault by local authorities and certain other bodies. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.

Annual Letter 2006/07 - Introduction

This annual letter provides a summary of the complaints we have received about your authority. Where possible, we comment on the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements to assist with your service improvement.

I hope that the letter will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

Two attachments form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

Complaints received

Volume & Character

The number of complaints received here against the Council fell from 12 in the previous year to the very low figure of four. That will no doubt please the Council but I caution against reading too much into the figure. This scale of variation between years is not exceptional in context.

Decisions on complaints

Reports and local settlements

A 'local settlement' is a complaint that is resolved by the Council taking, or agreeing to take, action which we consider is a satisfactory response to the complaint so that the investigation can be discontinued. In 2006/07 27.7% of complaints dealt with by the three Local Government Ombudsmen (excluding premature and those outside jurisdiction) were resolved by local settlement. When we complete an investigation we must issue a report.

I have not issued any reports against the Council during the year. One case was subject to a local settlement. The issue raised does not merit specific reference in this letter.

Other findings

In all, six cases were decided, including the complaint that was settled. In four of those, no maladministration was found. In the remaining case, my Investigator exercised her discretion not to investigate the matter.

Your Council's complaints procedure and handling of complaints

I am not aware of any problems with the way the Council deals with complaints from members of the public.

Training in complaint handling

Part of our role is to provide advice and guidance about good administrative practice. We offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. The feedback from councils that have taken up the training is very positive.

The range of courses is expanding in response to demand and in addition to the generic Good Complaint Handing (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution), we can run open courses for groups of staff from smaller authorities and also customise courses to meet your Council's specific requirements.

All courses are presented by an experienced investigator so participants benefit from their knowledge and expertise of complaint handling.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and any further bookings.

Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

The Council's responses to enquiries from my office remain significantly over our target of 28 days. The average time to respond to five enquiries was 64 days (with one case recorded as taking over 130 days!). This is simply not good enough and I ask the Council urgently to review what is going wrong and put it right. I would be pleased to know what the Council intends. I asked the same question last year and did receive some assurance that this issue had been addressed.

LGO developments

You may be interested in the development of our initiative to improve the first contact that people have with us. A new Access and Advice Service will provide a gateway to our services for all complainants and enquirers. It will encourage telephone contact but will also deal with email, text and letter correspondence. We will let you have further details about how it will operate and the expected timescales and discuss with you the implications for the Council.

I hope you have received our latest special report about telecommunications masts. It draws on our experience of dealing with complaints about planning applications for masts which can be highly controversial. We recommend simple measures that councils can adopt to minimise the chances of maladministration occurring.

In July we will be publishing a special report about the difficulties that can be encountered with complaints when local authorities deliver services or discharge their functions through partnerships. *Local partnerships and citizen* redress provides advice and guidance on how these problems can be overcome by good governance arrangements that include an effective complaints protocol.

Conclusions and general observations

I welcome this opportunity to comment on our experience of complaints about the Council over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

Anne Seex Local Government Ombudsman Beverley House 17 Shipton Road York YO30 5FZ

June 2007

Enc: Statistical data

Note on interpretation of statistics

Leaflet on training courses (with posted copy only)

| Complaints received by subject area | Housing | Other | Planning & building control | Public finance | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| 01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 2005 / 2006 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 12 |
| 2004 / 2005 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 |

Note: these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

| Decisions | MI reps | LS | M reps | NM reps | No mal | Omb disc | Outside jurisdiction | Premature complaints | Total excl premature | Total |
|-------------------------|---------|----|--------|---------|--------|----------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| 01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 2005 / 2006 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| 2004 / 2005 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 9 |

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

| | FIRST ENQUIRIES | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Response times | No. of First Enquiries | Avg no. of days to respond | | | |
| 01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007 | 5 | 64.0 | | | |
| 2005 / 2006 | 5 | 46.2 | | | |
| 2004 / 2005 | 6 | 27.3 | | | |

Average local authority response times 01/04/2006 to 31/03/2007

| Types of authority | <= 28 days | 29 - 35 days | >= 36 days | |
|---------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|--|
| | % | % | % | |
| District Councils | 48.9 | 23.4 | 27.7 | |
| Unitary Authorities | 30.4 | 37.0 | 32.6 | |
| Metropolitan Authorities | 38.9 | 41.7 | 19.4 | |
| County Councils | 47.1 | 32.3 | 20.6 | |
| London Boroughs | 39.4 | 33.3 | 27.3 | |
| National Park Authorities | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | |

Printed: 09/05/2007 13:50